UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,411	06/11/2007	Timo Kangas	2201-1-007PCT/US	1936
23565 KLAUBER & J	7590 05/09/200 ACKSON	8	EXAMINER	
	SACK AVENUE		PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU	
HACKENSACK, NJ 07601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/594,411	KANGAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hwei-Siu C. Payer	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meri						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 September 2006</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Sta	ge			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	4-1				

Detailed Action

The preliminary amendment filed on 9/26/06 has been entered.

Drawings Objection

The drawings are objected to because in Fig.3, reference numeral "36" has not been described in the specification.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3724

Objection to the Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 1, lines 21-23, reference to the claim (i.e. clam 1) in the specification is improper because such reference serves to render the proper function of the claim and the specification indistinguishable.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- (1) In claim 1, lines 7-8, it is not what the claimed "chipless cutting" is. Exactly what structure of the pipe cutting apparatus is defined by the claimed "chipless cutting"?
 - (2) In claim 1, line 11, "said cutting support" has no antecedent basis.
 - (3) In claim 2, lines 8-9, "said rotational motion" lacks clear antecedent basis.
- (4) In claim 2, lines 9-10, "the opposite direction" is vague. Exactly which direction does the opposite direction refer to (opposite to which direction)?
- (5) In claim 6, line 1, "the freewheel clutch" has no antecedent basis. It appears claim 6 should depend from claim 2.

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araki

(U.S. Patent No. 4,769,911) in view of Wickline (U.S. Patent No. 6,430,815).

Araki discloses a pipe cutting apparatus (1) comprising a body (2), a cutter head

(3) bearing-mounted (via gear 7) rotatably on the body (2), a centre rotation axis (8), a

cutting gap (11), a sharp-edged disk cutting blade (23) and a shifting and locking

mechanism (19) substantially as claimed except the pipe cutting apparatus (1) lacks

pipe securing elements for fixing the pipe (P).

Wickline shows a pipe cutting apparatus (10) comprising pipe securing elements

(23,24,25,26,27).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Araki by

providing the pipe cutting apparatus (1) with pipe securing elements to facilitate fixing

the pipe (P) at a fixed position during cutting operation as taught by Wickline.

Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3724

Claims 2-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3724

H Payer May 10, 2008

/Hwei-Siu C. Payer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724